Non-positive-partial-transpose quantum states of rank four are distillable

Lin Chen[1, 2] and Dragomir Ž Đoković[3]

[1]School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China
[2]International Research Institute for Multidisciplinary Science, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China*
[3]Department of Pure Mathematics and Institute for Quantum Computing,
University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada†

(Dated: February 16, 2016)

We show that any bipartite quantum state of rank four is distillable, when the partial transpose of the state has at least one negative eigenvalue, i.e., the state is NPT. For this purpose we prove that if the partial transpose of a two-qutrit NPT state has two non-positive eigenvalues, then the state is distillable. We further construct a parametrized two-qutrit NPT entangled state of rank five which is not 1-distillable, and show that it is not n-distillable for any given n when the parameter is sufficiently small. This state has the smallest rank among all 1-undistillable NPT states. We conjecture that the state is not distillable.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Mn

arXiv:1602.04416v1 [quant-ph] 14 Feb 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Many quantum-information tasks require entangled pure states as the necessary resource. In entanglement theory, a long-standing open problem is whether bipartite NPT states can be asymptotically converted into pure entangled states under local operations and classical communications (LOCC). This is the well-known distillability problem [1] and is related to the super-activation of bound entanglement and zero capacity quantum channels.

In spite of much effort devoted in past years, the distillability problem is still far from a solution due to the rapidly increasing number of parameters in the density matrices of states. A method of avoiding this difficulty is to convert by LOCC the states into the Werner states containing only one parameter [1, section II]. Thus to solve the distillability problem, it suffices to distill Werner states. In recent years there were several attempts to do that [1–7]. On the other hand, progress towards distilling entangled states of given dimensions or deficient rank has been made steadily. Compared with Werner states, in the case of deficient rank one can make use of more fruitful features of of density matrices, such as the existence of product vectors in the kernel and range. Entangled states of rank two and three [8, 9], $2 \times N$ NPT states [10], and $M \times N$ entangled states of rank at most $\max(M, N)$ [8, 11] have been proven distillable.

In this paper we show that if the partial transpose of an NPT two-qutrit state has at least two non-positive eigenvalues counting multiplicities, then the state is distillable. This is presented in Theorem 4. We further show that any NPT state of rank four is distillable. This is a corollary of the fact proved in Theorem 5, that if the kernel of a two-qutrit NPT state contains a product vector, then the state is 1-distillable. Since entangled states of rank at most three are distillable [8, 9], any NPT state of rank at most four is distillable. So the distillable entanglement measure is positive for these states [12]. Based on these facts, we give in Corollary 6 some necessary conditions for a bipartite state not to be 1-distillable. We construct a two-qutrit NPT state ρ of rank five, see Eq. (7), depending on a parameter $\epsilon > 0$, which is not 1-distillable. This is achieved by using the positive-partial-transpose (PPT) entangled edge states constructed in [13, p11], namely (1) in this paper. Since any NPT state of rank at most four is 1-distillable, ρ has the smallest rank among all 1-undistillable NPT states. We further show in Lemma 8 that for any given integer n and sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, ρ is n-undistillable. This is based on some estimates for many-copy of separable Werner states, presented in Lemma 7. We conjecture that ρ is not distillable for small $\epsilon > 0$.

In the literature many results about two-qutrit states of rank four have been found by using the PPT states constructed from the unextendible product bases (UPB) [14]. Next, any such states were proved to be constructed by UPB up to stochastic LOCC (SLOCC) [15, 16]. Further, the sufficient and necessary condition of deciding the separable states of rank four has been proposed [11, 17]. These facts and our result together classify all two-qutrit entangled states of rank four.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the mathematical formulation of distillability problem and notation used in the paper. In Sec. III we present the conditions for 1-distillable and 1-undistillable two-qutrit NPT states, and in particular we show that all two-qutrit NPT states of rank four are 1-distillable. We also construct a 1-undistillable two-qutrit NPT states of rank five. In Sec. IV we construct n-undistillable two-qutrit NPT states of rank five for any integer n. We give our conclusions in Sec. V.

*Electronic address: linchen@buaa.edu.cn (corresponding author)
†Electronic address: djokovic@uwaterloo.ca

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce the notation and recall facts on the distillability problem which will be used throughout the paper. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ be the bipartite Hilbert space with $\operatorname{Dim} \mathcal{H}_A = M$ and $\operatorname{Dim} \mathcal{H}_A = N$. We shall work with bipartite quantum states ρ on $\mathcal{H}$. We shall write I_k for the identity $k \times k$ matrix. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$ and $\ker \rho$ the range and kernel of a linear map ρ, respectively. From now on, unless stated otherwise, the states will not be normalized. We shall denote by $\{|i\rangle_A : i = 0, \ldots, M-1\}$ and $\{|j\rangle_B : j = 0, \ldots, N-1\}$ o. n. bases of $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$, respectively. The partial transpose of ρ w. r. t. the system A is defined as $\rho^\Gamma := \sum_{i,j} |j\rangle\langle i| \otimes \langle i|\rho|j\rangle$. We say that ρ is PPT if $\rho^\Gamma \geq 0$. Otherwise ρ is NPT, i.e., ρ^Γ has at least one negative eigenvalue. The NPT states are always entangled due to the Peres-Horodecki criterion for separable states [18].

The distillability problem requires many-copy states, so we introduce the notion of composite system. Let $\rho_{A_iB_i}$ be an $M_i \times N_i$ state of rank r_i acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{A_i} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose ρ of systems A_1, A_2 and B_1, B_2 is a state acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{A_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{A_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_2}$, such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{A_1B_1} \rho = \rho_{A_2B_2}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{A_2B_2} \rho = \rho_{A_1B_1}$. By switching the two middle factors, we can consider ρ as a *composite* bipartite state acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ where $\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_{A_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{A_2}$ and $\mathcal{H}_B = \mathcal{H}_{B_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_2}$. In that case we shall write $\rho = \rho_{A_1A_2:B_1B_2}$. So ρ is an $M_1M_2 \times N_1N_2$ state of rank not larger than r_1r_2. In particular for the *tensor product* $\rho = \rho_{A_1B_1} \otimes \rho_{A_2B_2}$, it is easy to see that ρ is an $M_1M_2 \times N_1N_2$ state of rank r_1r_2.

The above definition can be easily generalized to the tensor product of N states $\rho_{A_iB_i}, i = 1, \ldots, N$. They form a bipartite state on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{A_1,\cdots,A_N} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_1,\cdots,B_N}$. It is written as $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ when $\mathcal{H}_{A_i} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_i} = \mathcal{H}$. Using this terminology, we introduce the definition of distillable states [1].

Definition 1 *A bipartite state ρ is* n-distillable *under LOCC if there exists a Schmidt-rank-two state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ such that $\langle\psi|(\rho^{\otimes n})^\Gamma|\psi\rangle < 0$. Otherwise we say that ρ is n-undistillable. We say that ρ is* distillable *if it is n-distillable for some $n \geq 1$. If an entangled state ρ is not distillable, then we say that it is* bound entangled.

It is immediate from this definition that no PPT state is distillable. Hence PPT entangled states are bound entangled states. The distillability problem asks whether bound entangled state can be NPT.

Let us recall some basic methods for proving the separability, distillability and PPT properties of bipartite states. We say that two bipartite states ρ and σ are equivalent under SLOCC if there exists an invertible local operator (ILO) $A \otimes B$ such that $\rho = (A^\dagger \otimes B^\dagger)\sigma(A \otimes B)$ [16]. It is easy to see that any ILO transforms distillable, PPT, entangled, or separable state into the same kind of states. We shall often use ILOs to simplify the density matrices of states. A subspace which contains no product state, is referred to as a completely entangled subspace (CES).

III. 1-DISTILLABILITY OF NPT STATES

For convenience, we denote by $\operatorname{sr}(x)$ the Schmidt rank of a state $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$. If $|x\rangle = \sum_{i,j} \xi_{ij}|i, j\rangle$, $0 \leq i < M$, $0 \leq j < N$, we say that $[\xi_{ij}]$ is the matrix of $|x\rangle$. By definition, $\operatorname{sr}(x)$ is the ordinary rank of $[\xi_{ij}]$. The following lemma gives a necessary condition for the 1-distillability of quantum states.

Lemma 2 *If ρ is a bipartite state and $\langle\psi|\rho^\Gamma|\psi\rangle < 0$ for some vector $|\psi\rangle$, then $|\psi\rangle$ is entangled.*

Proof. Assume that $|\psi\rangle = |f, g\rangle$. Then $\langle\psi|\rho^\Gamma|\psi\rangle = \langle f^*, g|\rho|f^*, g\rangle \geq 0$ gives a contradiction. □

The following result generalizes [11, Lemma 4], and their proofs are also similar.

Lemma 3 *Let ρ be a bipartite state such that ρ^Γ has a principal 2×2 submatrix of negative determinant. Then ρ is distillable.*

Proof. Let $\rho = \sum_{i,j} |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes \sigma_{ij}$, and the 2×2 submatrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{bmatrix}$. We have $\rho^\Gamma = \sum_{i,j} |i\rangle\langle j| \otimes \sigma_{ji}$. Since $\sigma_{ii} \geq 0$, the diagonal entries a and c must belong to different diagonal blocks, say σ_{kk} and σ_{ll}. Let P be the orthogonal projector onto the two-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}_A$ spanned by $|k\rangle$ and $|l\rangle$. Then the projected state $(P \otimes I_B)\rho(P \otimes I_B)$ is an NPT state on the $2 \times N$ system. Hence it is distillable, and ρ is also distillable. □

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4 *If ρ is a two-qutrit NPT state and ρ^Γ has at least two non-positive eigenvalues counting multiplicities, then ρ is 1-distillable.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, there exist two eigenvectors of ρ^Γ, say $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ with matrices A and B, such that $\rho^\Gamma|\alpha\rangle = \lambda|\alpha\rangle$, $\lambda < 0$, $\rho^\Gamma|\beta\rangle = \mu|\beta\rangle$, $\mu \leq 0$, and $\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = 0$. If A is not invertible, then its rank is 2 and so ρ is 1-distillable. From now on we assume that A is invertible, and also that B is invertible if $\mu < 0$. Assume that $N := A^{-1}B$ is not nilpotent. Then $\det(I_3 + tN)$ is a nonconstant polynomial in t and we can choose t so that this determinant is 0. Thus $A + tB$ is singular, and $|\phi\rangle := |\alpha\rangle + t|\beta\rangle$ satisfies $\langle\phi|\rho^\Gamma|\phi\rangle = \langle\alpha|\rho^\Gamma|\alpha\rangle + |t|^2\langle\beta|\rho^\Gamma|\beta\rangle < 0$. Hence ρ is 1-distillable. If N is nilpotent we can choose $|\alpha'\rangle$, with matrix A', close to $|\alpha\rangle$ such that $\langle\alpha'|\rho^\Gamma|\alpha'\rangle < 0$, and such that the matrix $N' := (A')^{-1}B$ is not nilpotent. Then we can use the previous argument to show that ρ is 1-distillable. □

Using this result, we show that the existence of product vectors in the kernel is related to 1-distillability.

Theorem 5 *If the kernel of a two-qutrit NPT state ρ contains a product vector, then ρ is 1-distillable. Hence any bipartite NPT state ρ of rank four is 1-distillable.*

Proof. We can assume that $|0,0\rangle \in \ker\rho$. Consequently, the first diagonal entry of ρ is 0, and the same is true for ρ^Γ. If the first column of ρ^Γ is not 0, then ρ is 1-distillable by [11, Lemma 4]. Otherwise $|0,0\rangle \in \ker\rho^\Gamma$ and ρ is 1-distillable by Theorem 4. We have proved the first assertion.

To prove the second assertion, we recall that $M \times N$ NPT states of rank four are 1-distillable when $\max(M,N) \geq 4$ [8, 11] or $\min(M,N) = 2$. It remains to consider the case $M = N = 3$. Since $\mathrm{Dim}\ker\rho = 5$, $\ker\rho$ contains a product vector. Hence, the first assertion implies the second in this case. □

Our results show that if we can convert an entangled state into an NPT state of rank four, then it is distillable. It provides a new way of attacking the distillability problem. Let us mention that the result proved in [9] is the special case of this theorem when $r = 3$, and that [11, Theorem 28] follows from the case $r = 4$. It is immediate from the definition of bad states in [19] that the kernel of such a state contains at least one product vector. Consequently, all bad two-qutrit NPT states are 1-distillable. We may further construct 1-distillable two-qutrit NPT states ρ of rank r ranging from five to nine. Suppose σ is a two-qutrit NPT states of rank four. We can find five product vectors $|a_i, b_i\rangle, i = 1,2,3,4,5$, such that they and $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$ span the space $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$. Then $\rho = \sigma + \epsilon\sum_{i=1}^{r-4} |a_i,b_i\rangle\langle a_i,b_i|$ is NPT and 1-distillable when $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. In spite of these results, there exist 1-undistillable two-qutrit NPT states of rank five. They satisfy the following statement in view of the above theorems.

Corollary 6 *If ρ is a 1-undistillable two-qutrit NPT state, then $\ker\rho$ is a CES, and ρ^Γ has exactly one negative and eight positive eigenvalues. Consequently, $\mathrm{rank}\,\rho > 4$ and $\det\rho^\Gamma \neq 0$.*

We analytically construct such ρ. We use the fact [13, p10] that there exists a 2-parameter family of two-qutrit edge entangled states σ^Γ of birank $(8,5) = (\mathrm{rank}\,\sigma^\Gamma, \mathrm{rank}\,\sigma)$ where

$$\sigma := \frac{1}{3(2\cos\theta + b + 1/b)} \begin{bmatrix} 2\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\cos\theta \\ 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 & -e^{-i\theta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{i\theta} & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\cos\theta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 & -e^{-i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -e^{-i\theta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{i\theta} & 0 & b & 0 \\ -\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\cos\theta \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

and thus

$$\sigma^\Gamma = \frac{1}{3(2\cos\theta + b + 1/b)} \begin{bmatrix} 2\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{-i\theta} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -e^{-i\theta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{i\theta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\cos\theta & 0 & b & 0 \\ -e^{i\theta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{-i\theta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\cos\theta \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where the two parameters $b > 0$ and $0 < |\theta| < \pi/3$. We have the spectral decomposition

$$\sigma^\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{8} p_i |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|, \tag{3}$$

where p_i are the positive eigenvalues of σ^Γ. Let $p_1 = \min_i p_i$. By computation we have

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{6\cos\theta + 3b + 3/b} \min\left\{3\cos\theta - \sqrt{3}|\sin\theta|, \frac{1 + b^2 - \sqrt{1 + b^4 + 2b^2\cos 2\theta}}{2b}\right\}. \tag{4}$$

Eq. (1) implies that $\ker\sigma^\Gamma$ is spanned by the two-qutrit maximally entangled state

$$|\Psi\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle). \tag{5}$$

Since $\operatorname{rank}\sigma = 5$, $\mathcal{R}(\sigma)$ contains a normalized product state $|f,g\rangle$. One can verify that we may choose

$$|f,g\rangle = \frac{1}{b^{\frac{1}{2}} + b^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(|0\rangle + b^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta}|1\rangle)(|0\rangle - b^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta}|1\rangle), \tag{6}$$

such that $|f^*,g\rangle \notin \mathcal{R}(\rho^\Gamma)$. For sufficiently small $\epsilon \in (0, p_1/3]$, the matrix

$$\rho = \sigma - \epsilon|f,g\rangle\langle f,g| \tag{7}$$

is positive semidefinite and satisfies $\operatorname{rank}\rho = 5$. So ρ is a non-normalized quantum state. Since $|f^*,g\rangle \notin \mathcal{R}(\sigma^\Gamma)$, the partial transpose $\rho^\Gamma = \sigma^\Gamma - \epsilon|f^*,g\rangle\langle f^*,g|$ is not positive semidefinite. So ρ is NPT.

Let $|\psi\rangle$ be a normalized pure state of Schmidt rank at most two. Since $\sigma^\Gamma \geq 0$ and its kernel is spanned by $|\Psi\rangle$, we have $\langle\psi|\sigma^\Gamma|\psi\rangle > 0$. Since [1, Lemma 3] implies

$$\max_\psi |\langle\Psi|\psi\rangle|^2 = \frac{2}{3}, \tag{8}$$

Eq. (3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi|\sigma^\Gamma|\psi\rangle &= \sum_i p_i\langle\psi|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|\psi\rangle \\ &\geq p_1\sum_i\langle\psi|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|\psi\rangle \\ &= p_1\langle\psi|(I_9 - |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)|\psi\rangle \\ &\geq \frac{p_1}{3}.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

As $|\langle f^*,g|\psi\rangle| < 1$, we have $\langle\psi|\rho^\Gamma|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|(\sigma^\Gamma - \epsilon|f^*,g\rangle\langle f^*,g|)|\psi\rangle > p_1/3 - \epsilon \geq 0$. Hence ρ is 1-undistillable.

IV. N-DISTILLABILITY OF NPT STATES

In this section we study the n-distillability of NPT states. We will construct a parametrized family of two-qutrit NPT states of rank five, which is not n-distillable when the parameter is a function of n and small enough. This is similar to the phenomenon in [1]. For this purpose we present a preliminary lemma. We conjecture that the lhs of (12) is equal to $\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{12^n}$.

Lemma 7 *Let $\rho_s = \frac{1}{8}(I_9 - |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)$. For any integer n and any pure state $|\psi\rangle$ of Schmidt rank at most two, we have*

$$(\sigma^\Gamma)^{\otimes n} \geq (8p_1)^n(\rho_s)^{\otimes n}, \tag{10}$$

$$\max_\psi\langle\psi|(\rho_s)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{8^n}, \tag{11}$$

$$\min_\psi\langle\psi|(\rho_s)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle \geq \frac{1}{24^n}. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Eq. (3) implies (10). Next, (11) follows from the fact that $\rho_s^{\otimes n}$ is a normalized projector of rank 8^n, and the maximum is achievable when $|\psi\rangle = |0\cdots0\rangle_{A_1\cdots A_n} \otimes |1\cdots1\rangle_{B_1\cdots B_n}$. Eq. (8) implies that (12) holds for $n = 1$. We prove (12) by the induction. Suppose (12) holds for $n-1$, namely $\min_\psi\langle\psi|(\rho_s)^{\otimes(n-1)}|\psi\rangle \geq \frac{1}{24^{n-1}}$. It is known that ρ_s is a two-qutrit separable Werner state of rank eight [1]. Let $\rho_s = \sum_i q_i|a_i,b_i\rangle\langle a_i,b_i|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\psi|(\rho_s)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle \\ =\ &\langle\psi|(\rho_s)^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes (\sum_i q_i|a_i,b_i\rangle\langle a_i,b_i|)_{A_nB_n}|\psi\rangle \\ =\ &\sum_i q_i\|\langle a_i,b_i|\psi\rangle\|^2\langle\psi_i|(\rho_s)^{\otimes(n-1)}|\psi_i\rangle \\ \geq\ &\sum_i q_i\|\langle a_i,b_i|\psi\rangle\|^2\frac{1}{24^{n-1}} \\ =\ &\langle\psi|(\rho_s)_{A_nB_n}|\psi\rangle\frac{1}{24^{n-1}} \\ \geq\ &\frac{1}{24^n}.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here $|\psi_i\rangle = \frac{\langle a_i,b_i|\psi\rangle}{\|\langle a_i,b_i|\psi\rangle\|} \in \mathcal{H}_{A_1\cdots A_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B_1\cdots B_{n-1}}$ is a normalized state of Schmidt rank at most two. The first inequality in (13) follows from the induction assumption. To prove the last inequality in (13) we assume that $|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda}|w,x\rangle + \sqrt{1-\lambda}|y,z\rangle$ is the Schmidt decomposition where

$$|w\rangle = \sum_j\sqrt{\alpha_j}|j,\epsilon_j\rangle_{A_1\cdots A_{n-1},A_n}, \tag{14}$$

$$|x\rangle = \sum_k\sqrt{\beta_k}|k,\zeta_k\rangle_{B_1\cdots B_{n-1},B_n}, \tag{15}$$

$$|y\rangle = \sum_j\sqrt{\gamma_j}|j,\iota_j\rangle_{A_1\cdots A_{n-1},A_n}, \tag{16}$$

$$|z\rangle = \sum_k\sqrt{\delta_k}|k,\kappa_k\rangle_{B_1\cdots B_{n-1},B_n}, \tag{17}$$

and $\langle w|y\rangle = \langle x|z\rangle = 0$. For $|\varphi_{jk}\rangle := \sqrt{\lambda\alpha_j\beta_k}|\epsilon_j,\zeta_k\rangle + \sqrt{(1-\lambda)\gamma_j\delta_k}|\iota_j,\kappa_k\rangle$ and its normalization $|\varphi'_{jk}\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\psi|(\rho_s)_{A_nB_n}|\psi\rangle \\ =\ &\sum_{j,k}\langle\varphi_{jk}|\rho_s|\varphi_{jk}\rangle \\ =\ &\sum_{j,k}\|\varphi_{jk}\|^2\langle\varphi'_{jk}|\rho_s|\varphi'_{jk}\rangle \\ \geq\ &\sum_{j,k}\|\varphi_{jk}\|^2\min_\mu\langle\mu|\rho_s|\mu\rangle \\ =\ &\min_\mu\langle\mu|\rho_s|\mu\rangle = \frac{1}{24},\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $|\mu\rangle$ is an arbitrary bipartite pure state of Schmidt rank at most two. So the last inequality in (13) holds. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 8 *For any integer n, and sufficiently small $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) > 0$, the two-qutrit NPT state ρ in (7) is n-undistillable.*

Proof. For any pure state $|\psi\rangle$ of Schmidt rank two, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi|(\rho^\Gamma)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle &= \langle\psi|(\sigma^\Gamma - \epsilon|f^*,g\rangle\langle f^*,g|)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle \\ &:= \langle\psi|(\sigma^\Gamma)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k\epsilon^k, \qquad (19)\end{aligned}$$

where c_k are complex numbers. The definition of σ implies that $\sigma^\Gamma \geq p_1(I_9 - |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|) \geq 0$ where p_1 is the minimal eigenvalue of σ^Γ. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\psi|(\rho^\Gamma)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle \\ &\geq p_1^n\langle\psi|(I_9 - |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)^{\otimes n}|\psi\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k\epsilon^k. \qquad (20)\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7, the first summand is positive. Since it has nothing to do with ϵ, the claim follows. □

Conjecture 9 *There is an undistillable two-qutrit NPT state ρ in (7) by a constant $\epsilon > 0$.*

V. CONCLUSION

We have proposed methods to detect the 1-distillability of two-qutrit NPT states under LOCC. By applying them, we have proved that bipartite NPT states of rank four are 1-distillable. So they are useful resource for quantum-information tasks. We also have constructed bipartite NPT states of rank five which are not 1-distillable. We conjecture that they are not distillable, and so are related to the distillability problem. The next step is to investigate these states and study their 2-distillability.

Acknowledgments

LC was supported by the NSF of China (Grant No. 11501024), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant Nos. 30426401 and 30458601). The second author was supported in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

[1] D. P. DiVincenzo, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, B. M. Terhal, and A. V. Thapliyal, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 062312 (2000); W. Dür, J. I. Cirac, M. Lewenstein, and D. Bruss, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 062313 (2000).
[2] B. Kraus, M. Lewenstein, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 042327 (2002).
[3] S. Bandyopadhyay and V. Roychowdhury, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 022319 (2003).
[4] L. Clarisse, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 032332 (2005); Quant. Inf. Comput. **6**, 539 (2006).
[5] R. O. Vianna and A. C. Doherty, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 052306 (2006).
[6] L. Pankowski, M. Piani, M. Horodecki, and P. Horodecki, quant-ph/0711.2613.
[7] T. Hiroshima, quant-ph/0802.0329.
[8] P. Horodecki, J.A. Smolin, B.M. Terhal, A.V. Thapliyal, Rank two bipartite bound entangled states do not exist, Theoretical Computer Science **292** (2003), 589-596.
[9] Lin Chen and Yi-Xin Chen, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 022318 (2008).
[10] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 574 (1997).
[11] Lin Chen and D.Ž. Đoković, Distillability and PPT entanglement of low rank quantum states, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**, 285303 (2011), (26pp).
[12] C.H. Bennett, D.P. DiVincenzo, J. Smolin, and W.K. Wootters, Mixed state entanglement and quantum error correction, Phys. Rev. A **54** (1996), 3824-3851.
[13] S. H. Kye and H. Osaka, Classification of bi-qutrit positive partial transpose entangled edge states by their ranks, J. Math. Phys. **53**, 052201 (2012).
[14] C.H. Bennett, D.P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P.W. Shor, J.A. Smolin, and B.M. Terhal, Unextendible product bases and bound entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999), 5385-5388.
[15] Lin Chen and D.Ž. Đoković, Description of rank four entangled states of two qutrits having positive partial transpose, J. Math. Phys. **52**, 122203 (2011), (27pp).
[16] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J.I. Cirac, Three qubits can be entangled in two inequivalent ways, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314 (2000).
[17] R.F. Werner, Quantum states with einstein-podolsky-rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 4277 (1989).
[18] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1413 (1996); M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **223**, 1 (1996).
[19] Lin Chen and D.Ž. Đoković, Properties and construction of extreme bipartite states having positive partial transpose, Commun. Math. Phys. **323**, 241 (2013).